Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,814	KANEKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MOHAMMAD R. ASDJODI	1796	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>M. REZA ASDJODI</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Chang Sik Kim</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 March 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None.			
Claims discussed: 38, and 39.			
Prior art documents discussed: None.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE There is acorrection request, printer rush, regarding claims 38 a decided to cancell claims 38, and 39, and instead renumber the claims 54 and 55 are same as cancelled claims 38, and 39.	and 39 which are dependent on the	e following claim 51. Applicant	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writh record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview	
(David W/v)			
/David Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796			
(.	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)	